UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,427	04/20/2004	Michael J. Joslyn	108298539US2	5350	
25096 PERKINS COI	7590 10/11/2007 E LLP		EXAMINER		
PATENT-SEA			RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		
				* ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				(i		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
		10/828,427	JOSLYN, MICHAEL J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Maurina Rachuba	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a reposite will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
. 2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matte				
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 8,19,22,54,57,59 and 62-77 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 8,19,22,54,57,59 and 62-77 is/are rejection(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	☑ accepted or b)☐ object drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8/2/07.		Mail Date  ormal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/828,427

Art Unit: 3723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8, 19, 22, 54, 57, 59, and 62-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kunugi, 2002/0022440 in view of Tsuji, 5,186,394. 440 discloses the claimed invention, including a solution dispenser 1 separate from the head, the solution dispenser having a support 6 extending over the pad and a distributor carried by the support, the distributor being configured to discharge a planarizing solution from a plurality of locations along the support, wherein the support comprises an elongated arm and a fluid passageway carried by the arm through which a planarizing solution can flow, and the distributor further comprises a nozzle carried by the arm and in fluid communication with the fluid passageway. Further, '440 discloses more than one nozzle, and that the nozzles translate relative to the arm, see for example figure 6, showing two nozzles translated by actuator 15. '440 does not disclose that the nozzles are rotatably coupled to the arm, each nozzle movable between a first position to discharge the planarizing solution onto a surface of the pad at a first angle relative to the surface of the pad and a second position to discharge the planarizing solution at a second angle relative to the surface of the pad, the second angle being different from the first angle. In a device for dispensing fluid, '394 teaches the use of a

Application/Control Number: 10/828,427

Art Unit: 3723

rotatable nozzle that is actuated to spray a workpiece at a first angle relative to a surface of the workpiece and at a second angle relative to a surface of the workpiece. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have provided '675 with the rotatable nozzle of '394, to allow quick and accurate positioning of the nozzle to dispense the fluid, see column 2, lines 17-32.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8, 19, 22, 54, 57, 59, and 62-77 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment has overcome the previous grounds. The examiner agrees that Southwick does not rotate the nozzles to different angles relative to the pad, but rather relative to the support. However, it is the examiner's position that Kunugi, as modified by Tsuji, does teach an arm that does not rotate relative to the support, and therefore one of ordinary skill, considering the flexibility of positioning taught by Tsuji, would consider it obvious to provide Kunugi with rotatably positionable nozzles.

## Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3723

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurina Rachuba whose telephone number is 571 272 4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272 4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Rachuba/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723